Alan D. Sugarman Attorney At Law 17 W. 70 Street Suite 4 New York, NY 10023 212-873-1371 mobile 917-208-1516 fax 212-202-3524 sugarman@sugarlaw.com www.sugarlaw.com

April 22, 2015

Martin Rebholz Borough Commissioner NYC Department of Buildings Manhattan Borough Office 280 Broadway, 3rd Fl. New York, NY 10007

Margery Perlmutter, Chair NYC Board of Standards and Appeals 250 Broadway, 29th Floor New York, New York 10007

Elizabeth R. Caputo, Chair Community Board 7 250 West 87th Street New York, NY 10024 office@cb7.org

> Re: 8 West 70th Street, Manhattan, Congregation Shearith Israel Proposed Condominium-Community House

Dear Commissioner and Chairs:

I bring to your attention the bait and switch tactics employed by Congregation Shearith Israel to obtain variances from the Board of Standards and Appeals for a proposed condominium/community house at 8 West 70 Street, Manhattan, 74-07-BZ, August 26, 2008. These tactics have made a mockery of the BSA variance approval system.

The DOB has yet to approve the Congregation's application for a building permit, the proposed plans last having been <u>disapproved</u> by the DOB on March 2, 2015. The BIS system does not reveal the reasons for the disapproval and contains no current building plans.

The BSA variances allow the Congregation to eliminate upper floor setbacks in the front and rear and increase overall height beyond 75 feet and to build five large luxury condominiums. The Congregation's asserted purposes underpinning the variances were to meet the programmatic needs of the Congregation to build classrooms on floors two, three, and four.

Commissioner Martin Rebholz et al April 22, 2015 Page of 2 of 3

The Congregation argued that the classrooms needed to be contiguous and required large floors and that other space available on its site could not be used for classrooms. Thus, rear extensions, it was argued, were required for floors three and four to accommodate the classroom uses. The Congregation provided no other reason for the need to have the rear extensions.

The Congregation contended that these classrooms could not be placed in the 10,000 square foot area under the Congregation's Sanctuary nor in the Parsonage town house at 99 Central Park West (since the granting of the variances, the Sanctuary, Parsonage, and community house site have been combined into one zoning site.)

The Congregation then argued to the BSA that because of these urgent programmatic needs for classrooms, as a property owner it was unable to use these three floors to generate income and that thus it had a right to add floors to create the luxury condominiums that could have been located on these school floors, if not for the urgent programmatic need. The Congregation asserted that there could be no front-setbacks for the condominium floors, because the proceeds from the sale of smaller condominiums would not generate sufficient income.

With little probing questions and testimony, the Board of Standards and Appeals accepted these arguments, over the objection of the Community Board 7.

The latest filings show that the Congregation grossly and substantially misled the BSA when claiming that the school classrooms were urgent programmatic needs of the religious institution. The Congregation implicitly argued that as a religious entity with First Amendment rights, the BSA therefore was obligated to grant the variances – because of the stated programmatic needs.

The Congregation misled the BSA as to the veracity of its asserted programmatic needs that underpinned the variances. The new documents show that the Congregation no longer has an urgent programmatic need for schools, if it ever did, and there are now classrooms <u>only on a part of floor two</u>, and <u>none on floors three and four</u>. Importantly, the Congregation's justification for enlarged floors with rear setback variances on floors three and four no longer exists.

Absent these so-called imperative programmatic needs, three condominiums floors could be relocated to floors two, three, and four, obviating the need for the taller building and the unjustified absence of front set-backs.¹

_

¹ The five condominiums occupy at total 15,797 square feet, with Central Park views. The financial projections produced in 2008 by the Congregation showed condominium sale proceeds of approximately \$30 million or \$6 million per apartment, or approximately \$1900 a square foot, less than current valuation for CPW property. The apartments have five bedrooms and five bathrooms.

Commissioner Martin Rebholz et al April 22, 2015 Page of 3 of 3

The chart below (see attachment for full size) shows the evolution of the uses of floors two, three and four over time. These documents are all available on the BIS site.

	Plans 3-11-08 Approved by BSA 5/13/2008	PW1A May 10, 2013	PW1A Filed by Congregation March 13, 2015	Variances Granted By BSA August 26, 2008
	B-SCAN ES916645417	B-SCAN ES421459135	B-SCAN ES658111394	B-SCAN ES344012223
1	Lobby, Exhibition Space, Offices			
2	6 Classrooms	Classrooms, Library, Offices	Classrooms, Library and Reading Room, Outdoor Terrace	
3	6 Classrooms	Classrooms, Common Area	Offices, Accessory Caretaker Dwelling	15 Foot Rear Extension Based upon Classroom Programmatic Need
4	Caretaker Apt and 3 Classrooms	Offices, Mail/Copy Room Incidental Use Include Mech. Room	Office Mechanical Room	15 Foot Rear Extension Based upon Classroom Programmatic Need
5	Condominium 4,512 sq.ft.	One Apartment	One Apartment	Programmatic Need Prevented Congregation from using floors 1-4 for development
6	Condominium 4,346.63 sq.ft.	One Apartment	One Apartment	id. Plus setback needed to provide rate or return
7	Condominium 4,346.63 sq.ft.	One Apartment	One Apartment	id.
8	Condominium 4,346.63 sq.ft.	One Half Duplex Apt Lower Level	One Half Duplex Apt Lower Level – two apts	id.
9 (formerly "Penthouse")	Condominium 2,756.85 sq.ft.	One Half Duplex Apt Upper Level	One Half Duplex Apt Upper Level - two apts with floor 8	id.
Comments	Careteker Apt on Fourth Floor Front	No Caretakers Apt anywhere No classrooms on Floor #	Caretaker Apt is included and no classrooms on floor 3 and 4	

Excerpts from the BSA decision showing reliance upon these so-called imperative programmatic needs are shown in the second attachment.

Energyn from BNA Decision "S-LVDE Anguest 20, 2001 — See B-OCAN ES-84012222
*** THE STATE OF TH

We urge each of you to review your legal authorities and determine what action is appropriate under the circumstances and to decide if the Congregation should be allowed to abuse the system.

Sincerely,

Alan D. Sugarman

alla D. Jugaman

Cc: Jay Greer

Ryan Singer, Executive Director BSA rsinger@bsa.nyc.gov

Opp. Ex. 003 p.4/5

Note – B-SCAN ES documents may be found on the BIS site, listed under the Virtual Job File. http://tinyurl.com/CSI-BIS-Job-Folder

	Plans 3-11-08 Approved by BSA 5/13/2008	PW1A May 10, 2013	PW1A Filed by Congregation March 13, 2015	Variances Granted By BSA August 26, 2008
	B-SCAN ES916645417	B-SCAN ES421459135	B-SCAN ES658111394	B-SCAN ES344012223
1	Lobby, Exhibition Space, Offices			
2	6 Classrooms	Classrooms, Library, Offices	Classrooms, Library and Reading Room, Outdoor Terrace	
3	6 Classrooms	Classrooms, Common Area	Offices, Accessory Caretaker Dwelling	15 Foot Rear Extension Based upon Classroom Programmatic Need
4	Caretaker Apt and 3 Classrooms	Offices, Mail/Copy Room Incidental Use Include Mech. Room	Office Mechanical Room	15 Foot Rear Extension Based upon Classroom Programmatic Need
5	Condominium 4,512 sq.ft.	One Apartment	One Apartment	Programmatic Need Prevented Congregation from using floors 1-4 for development
6	Condominium 4,346.63 sq.ft.	One Apartment	One Apartment	id. Plus setback needed to provide rate or return
7	Condominium 4,346.63 sq.ft.	One Apartment	One Apartment	id.
8	Condominium 4,346.63 sq.ft.	One Half Duplex Apt Lower Level	One Half Duplex Apt Lower Level – two apts	id.
9 (formerly "Penthouse")	Condominium 2,756.85 sq.ft.	One Half Duplex Apt Upper Level	One Half Duplex Apt Upper Level - two apts with floor 8	id.
Comments	Careteker Apt on Fourth Floor Front	No Caretakers Apt anywhere No classrooms on Floor #	Caretaker Apt is included and no classrooms on floor 3 and 4	

74-07-BZ CEOR #07-BSA-071M

APPLICANT – Friedman & Gotbaum, LLP, by Shelly S. Friedman, Esq., for Congregation Shearith Israel a/k/a Trustees of the Congregation Shearith Israel in the City of N.Y. a/k/a the Spanish and Portuguese Synagogue.

SUBJECT – Application April 2, 2007 – Variance (§72-21) to allow a nine (9) story residential/community facility building; the proposal is contrary to regulations for lot coverage (§24-11), rear yard (§24-36), base height, building height and setback (§23-633) and rear setback (§23-663). R8B and R10A districts.

WHEREAS, the Board further notes that the waivers of lot coverage and rear yard requirements are requested to meet the Synagogue's need for additional classroom space and that the sub-cellar multi-purpose room represents an as-of-right use; and

WHEREAS, the Board agrees that the unique physical conditions cited above, when considered in the aggregate and in light of the Synagogue's programmatic needs, create practical difficulties and unnecessary hardship in developing the site in strict compliance with the applicable zoning regulations; thereby meeting the required finding under ZR § 72-21(a); and ZR § 72-21 (b) – Financial Return Finding

WHEREAS, the Board finds that the requested lot coverage and rear yard waivers are the minimum necessary to allow the applicant to fulfill its programmatic needs and that the front setback, rear setback, base height and building height waivers are the minimum necessary to allow it to achieve a reasonable financial return; and

THAT the parameters of the proposed building shall be as follows: a total floor area of 42,406 sq. ft.; a community facility floor area of 20,054 sq. ft.; a residential floor area of 22,352 sq. ft.; a base height of 95'-1"; with a front setback of 12'-0"; a total height of 105'-10"; a rear yard of 20'-0"; a rear setback of 6'-8"; and an interior lot coverage of 0.80; and

Adopted by the Board of Standards and Appeals, August 26, 2008.